



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/912,435 | 07/24/2001 | Andrea Cigada | 854063.654 | 4844 |
| 500 75 | 90 01/13/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC | | | VAN, QUANG T | |
| 701 FIFTH AV | E | | | |
| SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3742 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/912,435 | CIGADA ET AL. 🗸 | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Quang T Van | 3742 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI c, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Amendment filed on 11/23/2004</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7, 9, 11, 13-14, 16-17, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linn et al (US 5,882,423) cited by applicant. Linn discloses a plasma cleaning method comprising the step of introducing said integrated circuit package (11) inside a plasma chamber (21); and exposing said integrated circuit package (11) to a physical plasma (col. 6, lines 26-31) for selected time and strength to remove an upper layer of material from the package (col. 5, lines 22-34); and placing a pattern of ink marking on said package for marking said package (col. 1, lines 57-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-24 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linn et al (US 5,882,423) cited by applicant, in view of Arita et

Art Unit: 3742

al (US 6,418,941) cited in Previous Action filed on 05/18/04. Linn discloses a plasma cleaning method comprising the step of introducing said integrated circuit package (11) inside a plasma chamber (21); and exposing said integrated circuit package (11) to a noble gas ion plasma (col. 6, lines 26-31) for selected time and strength to remove an upper layer of material from the package (col. 5, lines 22-34); and placing a pattern of ink marking on said package for marking said package (col. 1, lines 57-63). However, Linn does not disclose noble plasma being physical plasma. Arita discloses noble plasma being physical plasma (col. 2, lines 12-17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Linn a noble plasma being a physical plasma as taught by Arita in order to provide a cleaning on the integrated circuit. With regard to claim 20, "said noble gas is helium". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plasma gas is helium. Since helium gas is noble gas, doing so would improve the quality of the plasma gas.

Page 3

5. Claims 5 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linn et al (US 5,882,423) cited by applicant, in view of Arita et al (US 6,418,941) and further in view of Chang et al (US 5,043,299). Linn/Arita disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except the step of energizing said plasma by applying the following energization parameters: energization time between 12 and 15 seconds; energization power between 140 and 160W; and plasma chamber pressure between 190 and 210 millitorr. Chang discloses the step of energizing said plasma by applying the following energization parameters: energization time between 12 and 15 seconds

Art Unit: 3742

integrated circuit package.

(col. 4, lines 26-31); energization power between 140 and 160W (col. 3, lines 49-54); and plasma chamber pressure between 190 and 210 millitorr (col. 3, lines 36-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Linn/Arita the step of energizing said plasma by applying the following energization parameters: energization time between 12 and 15 seconds; energization power between 140 and 160W; and plasma chamber pressure between 190 and 210 millitorr as taught by Chang in order to improve the cleaning quality of the

Page 4

- 6. Claims 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linn et al (US 5,882,423) cited by applicant, in view of Arita et al (US 6,418,941) and further in view of Mitra et al (US 6,232,153). Linn/Arita disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except said ink marking process being carried out using a laser ink marking technique. Mitra discloses an ink marking process being carried out using a laser ink marking technique (abstract, lines 5-9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Linn/Arita an ink marking process being carried out using a laser ink marking technique as taught by Mitra in order minimize degradation of electrical performance and improving yields and reliability.
- 7. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linn et al (US 5,882,423) cited by applicant, in view of Arita et al (US 6,418,941) and further in view of Wensel (US 6,230,719). Linn/Arita disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except the integrated circuit package is one of a frame of

Application/Control Number: 09/912,435 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

integrated circuit packages cleaned during the cleaning step. Wensel discloses an integrated circuit package is one of a frame of integrated circuit packages cleaned during the cleaning step (col. 4, lines 49-61). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Linn/Arita an integrated circuit package is one of a frame of integrated circuit packages cleaned during the cleaning step as taught by Wensel in order to allow for use in high throughput processes.

Response to Amendment

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 571-272-4789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QV QV

1/10/05

Quang T Van

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742